1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Stora	٠.			Freq
evajesal "1,	•		٠.	4

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL LIA,

Petitioner,

No. C 05-2801 JSW

V.

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE** 

JAMES A. YATES, Warden Pleasant Valley State Prisoner,

Respondent.

Petitioner, Michael Lia, a state prisoner, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

### **BACKGROUND**

On March 17 2003, Petitioner was convicted by a jury in the Superior Court for the State of California in and for the County of San Mateo on 29 counts for violating California Penal Code § 288(a), on 15 counts for violating California Penal Code § 288a(b)(2), and 5 counts for violating California Penal Code § 288(c)(1). On April 29, 2003, Petitioner was sentenced to 26 years in state prison.

### LEGAL CLAIMS

Petitioner challenges the validity of his underlying conviction on the ground that a confession allegedly obtained in violation of *Miranda v. Arizona*, 394 U.S. 436 (1966) was permitted to be introduced at trial. Liberally construed, Petitioner's claim appears potentially colorable under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 and merits an answer from Respondent.

#### CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown:

1.	Petitioner shall serve by certified mail a copy of this Order and the petition and
	all attachments thereto upon Respondent.

- Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner, within 60 days of 2. the date of this Order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be issued. Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on Petitioner a copy of all portions of the administrative record that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.
- If Petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse 3. with the Court and serving it on Respondent within 30 days of his receipt of the answer.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: JUL 1 3 2005